arXiv:1607.04042v1 [math.AP] 14 Jul 2016

LOCAL PETROVSKII LACUNAS AT PARABOLIC SINGULAR POINTS OF WAVEFRONTS OF STRICTLY HYPERBOLIC PDE'S

V.A. VASSILIEV

Abstract. We enumerate the local Petrovskii lacunas (that is, the domains of local regularity of the principal fundamental solutions of strictly hyperbolic PDE's with constant coefficients in $\mathbb{R}^N$) at the *parabolic* singular points of their wavefronts (that is, at the points of types P_8^1, P_8^2, $\pm X_9$, X_9^1, X_9^2, J_{10}^1, J_{10}^3). These points form the next difficult family of classes of the natural classification of singular points after the so-called *simple* singularities A_k, D_k, E_6, E_7, E_8, studied previously.

Also we promote a computer program counting for topologically different morsifications of critical points of smooth functions, and hence also for local components of the complement of a generic wavefront at its singular points.

Keywords: wavefront, lacuna, hyperbolic operator, sharpness, morsification, Petrovskii cycle, Petrovskii criterion.

1. Introduction

The *lacunas* of a hyperbolic PDE are the components of the complement of its wavefront such that the principal fundamental solution of this equation can be extended from any such component to a regular function in some its neighborhood. The theory of lacunas was created by I.G. Petrovskii [18]. He has related this regularity condition to the topology and geometry of algebraic manifolds, and gave a criterion of it in the terms of certain homology classes of complex projective algebraic manifolds defined by the principal symbol of the hyperbolic operator. This theory was further developed in numerous works including [10], [8], [9], [17], [6], [7], [12], [20], [19], [21], [22]; for an important preceding work see [16]. Most of these works treat also the local aspect of the problem, explicitly formulated in [7] in the terms of *local lacunas* and a local version of the Petrovskii topological condition.

Any hyperbolic operator with constant coefficients in $\mathbb{R}^N$ admits a unique fundamental solution with support in a proper cone in the half-space $\mathbb{R}^N_+$ of the Cauchy problem. This fundamental solution is regular (that is, locally coincides with some smooth analytic functions) everywhere in $\mathbb{R}^N$ outside some conic semialgebraic hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^N_+$, called the *wavefront* of our operator. We consider only *strictly* hyperbolic operators, which means that the cone $A(P) \subset \check{\mathbb{R}}^N$ of zeros of the principal symbol of our operator P is non-singular outside the origin in $\check{\mathbb{R}}^N$. Here $\check{\mathbb{R}}^N$ is the dual space of *momenta* with coordinates $\eta_j \equiv \frac{1}{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$, so that the operator P is considered as a polynomial in these variables. In this case the wavefront $W(P) \subset \mathbb{R}^N_+$ is just the cone projectively dual to $A(P)$, that is, the union of those rays from the origin in $\mathbb{R}^N_+$ whose orthogonal hyperplanes in $\check{\mathbb{R}}^N$ are tangent to the

Research supported by the Russian Science Foundation grant, project 16-11-10316.

cone $A(P)$. The singular points of the wavefront (besides the origin) correspond via the projective duality to the inflection points of $A(P)$, that is, to those points where the rank of the second fundamental form of this cone is smaller than $N-2$. A deep classification of these singular points was developed in the works by V.I. Arnold, see e.g. [3].

Definition 1. *A local C^∞-lacuna (respectively, holomorphic local lacuna) at some point of the wavefront is any component of the complement of the wavefront in a neighborhood of this point, such that the restriction of the principal fundamental solution to this component can be extended to a C^∞-smooth function on the closure of this component (respectively, to an analytic function in entire neighborhood of our point).*

One and the same (global) component of the complement of the wavefront can to be a local lacuna at some points of its boundary and not to be at the other ones.

All local lacunas occurring in the neighborhoods of all singularities of wavefronts from an initial segment of the Arnold classification (so-called simple singularities) were enumerated in [20] and [21]. In the present work we study and enumerate the holomorphic local lacunas neighboring to the singularities whose classes the next natural segment of this classification.

1.1. **Previous results on local lacunas.** The non-singular points of the wavefront of the operator P correspond to the points of the cone $A(P)$, at which its second fundamental form is maximally non-degenerate. The existence and the number of local lacunas close to such points of the wavefront can be determined in the terms of its differential geometry, see [10] and [8]. Namely, a component of the complement of the wavefront at such a point is a local lacuna if and only if the positive inertia index of the second fundamental form of the wavefront (with the normal directed into this component) is even. A.M. Davydova [10] has proved the "only if" part of this statement: if this signature condition is not satisfied, then already the leading term of the asymptotics of the fundamental solution behaves as a half-integer (but not integer) power of the distance from the wavefront. V.A. Borovikov [8], using complicated analytic estimates, has proved that otherwise we have a local lacuna, that is, *all* terms of the asymptotic expansion of this solution in the terms of this distance have integer powers, and the corresponding power series does converge. His result was later explained in [7] as a corollary of the removable singularity theorem by moving into the complex domain.

All local lacunas neighboring to the simplest singular points of the wavefronts, of types A_2 (cuspidal edges, see Fig. 1) and A_3 (swallowtails) were counted for in [12]. An interesting situation occurs close to a point of the cuspidal edge, if N is odd and the inertia indices of the quadratic part of the *generating function* of our point (see §1.2 below) also is odd. For all other combinations of these numbers, if a component of the complement of the wavefront close to the cuspidal edge is not a local lacuna, then already the Davydova–Borovikov signature condition from the side of this component is not satisfied at some non-singular points of the wavefront arbitrarily close to the edge. However, in the case of odd N and $i_\pm$ the Davydova–Borovikov condition from the side of the bigger component (see Fig. 1) is satisfied at all nearby non-singular points, nevertheless this component is not a local lacuna (and also is not for all other combinations of N and $i_\pm$).



FIG. 1. Cuspidal edge in the 3-dimensional space

TABLE 1. Numbers of local lacunas at simple singularities of wavefronts

Singularity class	N even i_+ even	N even i_+ odd	N odd i_+ even	N odd i_+ odd
A_1	2	0	1	1
$A_{2k},\ k \geq 1$	0	0	1	0
$\pm A_{2k+1},\ k \geq 1$	0	1	1	1
D_4^-	0	3	1	1
$D_{2k}^+,\ k \geq 2$	0	0	1	1
$D_{2k}^-,\ k \geq 3$	0	2	1	1
$\pm D_{2k+1},\ k \geq 2$	0	0	1	1
$\pm E_6$	0	0	1	1
E_7	0	0	1	1
E_8	0	0	1	1

All local lacunas for all *simple* singularities of wavefronts (that is, singularities of classes A_k, D_k, E_6, E_7, E_8 in the Arnold's classification) were found in [20], see Table 1 for the number of them.

Atiyah, Bott and Gårding [7] have introduced the local version of the homological Petrovskii criterion, and proved that it implies that the corresponding local component of the complement of the wavefront is a holomorphic local lacuna. In [20] the converse implication was proved for *finite type* points of wavefronts (that is, for points corresponding by the projective duality to only finitely many lines in the complexification of $A(P)$; this condition is satisfied for all singular points of wavefronts of *generic* operators). In [21], an easy geometric criterion for a component to be a local lacuna of a simple singularity was proved. Namely, it follows from the above described facts that if a local component of the complement of the wavefront is a local lacuna, then the Davydova–Borovikov signature condition is satisfied at all non-singular points of its boundary, and in addition our component is the "smaller" component of the complement of the wavefront at all points of type A_2 (that is, cuspidal edges) of this boundary, see Fig. 1. In [21] it was proved that

TABLE 2. Numbers of local lacunas at parabolic singularities

Singularity class	N even i_+ even	N even i_+ odd	N odd i_+ even	N odd i_+ odd
P_8^1	0_c	0_c	≥ 2	0
P_8^2	0_c	0_c	≥ 2	0
$\pm X_9$	1_c	0	≥ 2	0
X_9^1	0	0	0	0
X_9^2	0	≥ 4	0	0
J_{10}^3	0_c	≥ 1	0	0
J_{10}^1	0_c	0_c	0	0

if the singularity is simple, and some technical condition (the *versality* of the generating family, which always holds for wavefronts of generic operators) is satisfied, then this necessary condition is also sufficient; moreover, in this case the notions of local C^∞-lacunas and holomorphic local lacunas are equivalent.

The next important natural set of singularity classes of wavefronts is that of *parabolic* (or *simple-elliptic*) singularities, see [3]. It consists of seven one-parameter families of singularities listed in the left-hand column of Table 2. The numbers of local lacunas at these singularities are shown in the remaining columns of this table: this (together with an explicit description of these lacunas) is the main result of the present article, see Theorem 1 below. However, we need some preliminaries to describe these singularities and formulate this result accurately.

1.2. **Generating functions and generating families of wavefronts.** Given a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus 0$ of the wavefront of a strictly hyperbolic operator P, the local geometry of this wavefront at this point (in particular the set of local components of its complement at this point) is determined by its *generating function*, which is just the function f in the local equation

$$\xi_0 = f(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{N-2})$$

of the projectivization $A^*(P) \subset \check{\mathbb{RP}}^{N-1}$ of the set of zeros of the principal symbol of our operator. Here $\xi_0, \dots, \xi_{N-2}$ are affine local coordinates in $\check{\mathbb{RP}}^{N-1}$ with the origin at the tangency point of the hypersurface $A^*(P)$ and the hyperplane $L(x)$ orthogonal to the line containing the point x, such that this hyperplane $L(x)$ is distinguished by the equation $\xi_0 = 0$. In particular, f has a critical point at the origin; the dual piece of the wavefront is smooth if this critical point is Morse.

Denote by n the number $N-2$ of variables of generating functions of wavefronts in $\mathbb{R}^N$. The *parabolic* singularity classes studied in this work have the generating functions which can be reduced by a local diffeomorphism in $\mathbb{R}^n$ to the following normal forms. The functions of class P_8 in appropriate (curvilinear) local coordinates have the formula $\varphi(x_1, x_2, x_3) + Q(x_4, \dots, x_n)$, where φ is a non-degenerate homogeneous cubic polynomial, and Q is a non-degenerate quadratic function in the remaining coordinates, e.g. $\pm x_4^2 \pm \dots \pm x_n^2$. The projectivization of the zero set of the polynomial φ can consist of one or two curves, therefore we obtain two subclasses, called P_8^1 and P_8^2 respectively. The remaining parabolic functions have the following normal forms (where Q are non-degenerate quadratic functions in coordinates $x_3, \dots, x_n$):

$\pm X_9$	$\pm(x_1^4+\alpha x_1^2x_2^2+x_2^4+Q)$	$\alpha>-2$
X_9^1	$x_1x_2(x_1^2+\alpha x_1x_2+x_2^2)+Q$	$\alpha^2<4$
X_9^2	$x_1x_2(x_1+x_2)(x_1+\alpha x_2)+Q$	$\alpha\in(0,1)$
J_{10}^3	$x_1(x_1-x_2^2)(x_1-\alpha x_2^2)+Q$	$\alpha\in(0,1)$
J_{10}^1	$x_1(x_1^2+\alpha x_1x_2^2+x_2^4)+Q$	$\alpha^2<4$

The index i_+ in Table 2 is the positive inertia index of the quadratic part Q of the corresponding function.

Another important notion, reducing the study of wavefronts to the context of critical points of functions, is that of *generating families*. In our case, this is the name of the family of functions

$$f_\lambda \equiv f(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_{N-2})-\lambda_0-\lambda_1\xi_1-\dots-\lambda_{N-2}\xi_{N-2}, \tag{1}$$

depending on the parameter $\lambda=(\lambda_0,\dots,\lambda_{N-2})\in\mathbb{R}^{N-1}$. It is natural to consider these parameters λ_i as local affine coordinates in $\mathbb{RP}^{N-1}$ close to the point $\{x\}$. Indeed, any collection λ of these numbers defines a hyperplane $L(\lambda)\subset\check{\mathbb{RP}}^{N-1}$ distinguished by the equation

$$\xi_0=\lambda_0+\lambda_1\xi_1+\dots+\lambda_{N-2}\xi_{N-2},$$

hence a line in $\mathbb{R}^N$ or a point in $\mathbb{RP}^{N-1}$. The projectivized wavefront close to our point in $\mathbb{RP}^{N-1}$ consists of all *discriminant* values of the parameters of the family (1), that is, of those values of λ for which the function (1) has critical value 0 (which is equivalent to the tangency of hypersurfaces $A^*(P)$ and $L(\lambda)$). So, the role of the (projectivized) wavefronts in the language of critical points of functions is played by the *discriminant varieties* of *function deformations*.

Recall that a *deformation* of the function $f:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$ is a function $F:\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^l\to\mathbb{R}$ considered as a family of functions $f_\lambda\equiv F(\cdot,\lambda):\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$ depending on the parameter $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}^l$, such that f_0 coincides with the deformed function f. The discriminant variety of such a deformation is the set of values of its parameter λ such that the corresponding function f_λ has a critical point with zero critical value. In particular, the generating family of a wavefront is a deformation of its generating function, and the wavefront itself is the discriminant set of this deformation.

The notion of (holomorphic) local lacunas has sense for arbitrary deformations of critical points of real functions (not necessarily related with the wavefronts): a component of the complement of the discriminant set of such a deformation is a local lacuna if some homological condition (the triviality of the local Petrovskii homology class, described in the next section) concerning the level manifolds $f_\lambda^{-1}(0)$ is satisfied for values of λ from this component. If our deformation is the generating family of the wavefront of a hyperbolic operator, then this notion turns out to be equivalent to the one described previously in the terms of fundamental solutions, see Proposition 2 of the next section.

Among the deformations $F(x,\lambda)$ of a function f with an isolated critical point there is a distinguished class of *versal deformations*, that is, of sufficiently ample deformations such that all other deformations can be reduced to them in some precise sense, see [3], [22]. The number of parameters of a versal deformation cannot be smaller than the *Milnor number* $\mu(f)$ of our critical point (which is the standard lower index of the notation of its class, like 8 for P_8^1), on the other hand almost all deformations depending on $\geq\mu(f)$ parameters satisfy this condition. An important corollary of the notion of versality is as follows: if the parameter space

of some versal deformation of the function f contains no local lacunas, then the same is true for any other its deformation.

Theorem 1. *The number of holomorphic local lacunas in the parameter space of a versal deformation of a parabolic critical point of a function $f_0 : \mathbb{R}^{N-2} \to \mathbb{R}$ is equal to the number indicated in the corresponding cell of Table 2 or satisfies the inequality given in this table. (The subscript $_c$ in some cells means that in the corresponding case the upper bound on the number of local lacunas has only a computer proof).*

In the case of non-versal deformations this statement remains true for all cells of the Table 2, where we have 0 *or* 0_c. *If our deformation contains all functions $f_0 + const$ (which holds for all generating families of wavefronts) then both signs 1_c and ≥ 2 for the singularity $\pm X_9$ can be replaced by ≥ 1.*

Remark 1. Some of these results were obtained earlier, see e.g. [5], [22]. The new results are as follows:

The singularity P_8^2 is investigated for the first time.

For $\pm X_9$, N even, i_+ even: the estimate ≥ 1 is replaced by the exact value 1_c.

For X_9^2, N even, i_+ odd: ≥ 2 is replaced by ≥ 4.

For J_{10}^3, N even, i_+ even: the absence of local lacunas is proved.

For J_{10}^1, N even, i_+ even or odd: the absence of local lacunas is proved in both cases.

All local lacunas mentioned in non-zero cells of Table 2 will be presented in §5. All zeros (but not signs 0_c) in this table follow from a topological obstruction described in §3. All signs 0_c are proved by a combinatorial Fortran program which enumerates all possible topological types of morsifications of given critical points and checks the local Petrovskii condition for them. This program has also found for the first time the local lacunas for singularities P_8^1, X_9^2 and J_{10}^3 presented below, as well as one of local lacunas for $\pm X_9$, see Proposition 9 on the page 13. This program is described in §6. The upper bound 1_c for the singularity $\pm X_9$ with even N and i_+ will be proved in §8.

Conjecture 1. *In all cells of Table 2 (except maybe for the case X_9^2) the inequalities can be replaced by equalities.*

Here is a particular information supporting this conjecture. Given a Morse perturbation f_λ of a function f with complicated critical point at the origin, denote by $\chi(\lambda)$ the number of its real critical points with negative critical values and even Morse index minus the number of points also with negative values but odd Morse index.

Proposition 1. *The perturbations of our singularity f, which belong to the local lacunas, cannot have values of $\chi(\lambda)$ different from those for perturbations presented in §5.*

This fact is also proved by our program. □

2. Local Petrovskii classes and their properties

Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{R}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}, 0)$ be a holomorphic function with isolated critical point at 0, $\mu(f)$ its *Milnor number* (see [3]), $B_\varepsilon \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ a ball centered at 0 with a small radius ε. Let f_λ be a very small (with respect to ε) perturbation of f, such that 0 is not a critical value of f_λ in B_ε. Consider the corresponding *Milnor fiber*



FIG. 2. Odd Petrovskii cycle for $n = 1$

$V_\lambda \equiv f_\lambda^{-1}(0) \cap B_\varepsilon$. It is a smooth $(2n-2)$-dimensional manifold with boundary $\partial V_\lambda \equiv V_\lambda \cap \partial B_\varepsilon$. By the Milnor's theorem it is homotopy equivalent to the wedge of $\mu(f)$ spheres S^{n-1}, in particular $\tilde{H}_{n-1}(V_\lambda) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\mu(f)} \simeq \tilde{H}_{n-1}(V_\lambda, \partial V_\lambda)$; here $\tilde{H}_*(V_\lambda)$ denotes the homology group reduced modulo a point, and $\tilde{H}_*(V_\lambda, \partial V_\lambda)$ the relative homology group reduced additionally modulo the fundamental cycle. Also, $\mu(f)$ is equal to the number of critical points of f_λ in B_ε, if the function f_λ is *Morse* and is indeed sufficiently close to f.

We will assume that an orientation of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is fixed, and the differential form $dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n$ is positive with respect to this orientation.

There are two important elements in the group $\tilde{H}_{n-1}(V_\lambda, \partial V_\lambda)$, the *even* and *odd Petrovskii classes*. The first of them, $P_{\mathrm{ev}}(\lambda)$, is presented by the cycle of real points $\mathbb{R}^n \cap V_\lambda$ oriented by the differential form $(dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n)/df_\lambda$. The definition of the second class, P_{odd}, is a bit more complicated. First we consider an n-dimensional cycle $\Pi(\lambda)$ in $B_\varepsilon \setminus V_\lambda$, presented by two copies of canonically oriented $\mathbb{R}^n$, slightly moved in a small neighborhood of the submanifold $\mathbb{R}^n \cap V_\lambda$ in B_ε so that they streamline V_λ from two different sides in the complex domain: for the case $n = 1$ see the left-hand part of Fig. 2 where the set V_λ is marked by thick dots.

The *odd Petrovskii class* P_{odd} is defined as the preimage of the homology class of this cycle under the *Leray tube operator*

$$\tilde{H}_{n-1}(V_\lambda, \partial V_\lambda) \to \tilde{H}_n(B_\varepsilon \setminus V_\lambda, \partial B_\varepsilon),$$

which sends any relative cycle in the submanifold V_λ to the union of boundaries of the fibers of the tubular neighborhood of this submanifold over the points of this cycle. This operator is conjugate via the Poincaré–Lefschetz isomorphisms to the boundary isomorphism $\tilde{H}_n(B_\varepsilon, V_\lambda) \to \tilde{H}_{n-1}(V_\lambda)$, and also is an isomorphism.

Let $F : (\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^l, 0) \to (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ be a deformation of the function f, and $\Sigma(F) \subset \mathbb{R}^l$ be the set of discriminant values of the parameter λ.

Definition 2. A local (close to the point $0 \in \mathbb{R}^l$) connected component of the set $\mathbb{R}^l \setminus \Sigma(F)$ is called an *even* (respectively, *odd*) *local lacuna* of the deformation F if for any value of λ from this component the element $P_{\mathrm{ev}}(\lambda)$ (respectively, $P_{\mathrm{odd}}(\lambda)$) of the group $\tilde{H}_{n-1}(V_\lambda, \partial V_\lambda)$, related with the corresponding perturbation f_λ of f, is equal to 0.

This definition is consistent with Definition 1 by the following reason.

Proposition 2. *Suppose that $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus 0$ is a point of the wavefront of a strictly hyperbolic operator, the critical point of its generating function f is isolated, and y is a point outside the wavefront but very close to x. Let $y^* \in \mathbb{RP}^{N-1}$ be the direction of the line containing y, $\lambda = (\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{N-2})$ the local coordinates of the point y^* accordingly to §1.2, and f_λ the perturbation of f given by the formula (1) with these values of λ_i. Then the point y belongs to a local (close to x) holomorphic lacuna of our hyperbolic operator if and only if the point λ belongs to an even even lacuna of the corresponding generating family (if N is even) or to an odd local lacuna (if N is odd).*

The part "if" of this proposition was essentially proved in [7], and "only if" was conjectured there and proved in the translator's note to the Russian translation of [7], see also [20].

This proposition reduces the study of local lacunas to a problem on deformations of real critical points of functions, namely to the calculation of local Petrovskii classes of perturbations of such functions, and the hunt for those perturbations for which these classes vanish.

2.1. **Important example.** If the function f has a minimum (respectively, maximum) point at 0, then the function $f+\tau$ (respectively, $f-\tau$) with sufficiently small positive τ belongs to its even local lacuna.

Indeed, in this case the set of real points of the corresponding Milnor fiber is empty.

Conjecture 2. *If a function $f(x_1, x_2)$ has an isolated non-Morse critical point at 0, then its deformations have no even local lacunas unless f has an extremum at the origin; in the latter case all critical values of real critical points of all its small perturbations which belong to such a lacuna are positive (if f has a minimum point at the origin) or negative (if f has a maximum).*

2.2. **Explicit calculation of local Petrovskii classes.** The group $\tilde{H}_{n-1}(V_\lambda, \partial V_\lambda)$ is Poincaré dual to $\tilde{H}_{n-1}(V_\lambda)$, therefore any its element is completely characterized by its intersection indices with basic elements of the latter group. For these basic elements we can take the *vanishing cycles* (see e.g. [4], [15], [22]) corresponding to critical points of f_λ. In [17], [20] explicit formulas for these intersection indices of both local Petrovskii classes with cycles vanishing in real critical points were calculated: these indices are expressed in the terms of Morse indices of these critical points and the intersection indices of vanishing cycles. We do not give here these large formulas and refer to section V.1.6 in [22] or §5.1.4 in [5].

In particular, these formulas describe the Petrovskii classes completely if all $\mu(f)$ critical points of the perturbation f_λ are real, and we know their Morse indices and the intersection indices of corresponding vanishing cycles.

Remark 2. In this and other related calculations it is important to use the orientations of these vanishing cycles, compatible with the fixed orientation of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Fortunately, the methods of calculating the intersection indices developed in [13], [1], [11] use exactly these orientations. These methods give us the desired data for appropriate perturbations of all parabolic singularities except for P_8^2, in the latter case we solve the similar problem in §7.

2.3. **Stabilization.**

Definition 3 (see [3])**.** Two functions $f, \tilde{f} : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ with critical points at 0 are *equivalent* if they can be taken one into the other by a germ of diffeomorphism $G : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$, that is, $f \equiv \tilde{f} \circ G$. Two critical points of functions (maybe depending on a different number of variables) are *stably equivalent* if they become equivalent after the summation with non-degenerate quadratic forms depending on additional variables.

For example, the functions $f(x) = x^k$, $f_1(x, y) = x^k + y^2$, $f_2(x, y, z) = x^k - yz$ and $f_3(x, y) = -y^2 + (x - y)^k$ are stably equivalent to one another, but they are not stably equivalent to the function $f_4(x, y) = x^k$.

If $F(x, \lambda)$ is a deformation of the function $f(x)$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, then the family of functions $F(x_1, \dots, x_n, \lambda) \pm x_{n+1}^2 \pm \dots \pm x_{n+m}^2$ depending on $n + m$ variables is a deformation of the stabilization $f(x) \pm x_{n+1}^2 \pm \dots \pm x_{n+m}^2$ of $f(x)$; the latter deformation is versal if and only if $F(x, \lambda)$ is.

Proposition 3 (see e.g. [22])**.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

1) the perturbation f_λ of the function $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{R}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}, 0)$ belongs to an even (respectively, odd) local lacuna;

2) the perturbation $f_\lambda + x_{n+1}^2 + x_{n+2}^2$ of the function $f + x_{n+1}^2 + x_{n+2}^2 : (\mathbb{C}^{n+2}, \mathbb{R}^{n+2}, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}, 0)$ belongs to an even (respectively, odd) local lacuna;

3) the perturbation $f_\lambda - x_{n+1}^2 - x_{n+2}^2$ of the function $f - x_{n+1}^2 - x_{n+2}^2 : (\mathbb{C}^{n+2}, \mathbb{R}^{n+2}, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}, 0)$ belongs to an even (respectively, odd) local lacuna;

4) the perturbation $f_\lambda + x_{n+1}^2 - x_{n+2}^2$ of the function $f + x_{n+1}^2 - x_{n+2}^2 : (\mathbb{C}^{n+2}, \mathbb{R}^{n+2}, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}, 0)$ belongs to an <u>odd</u> (respectively, <u>even</u>) local lacuna.

So, the summation with a positive or negative definite quadratic form in an even number of additional variables moves even (respectively, odd) local lacunas to the lacunas of the same type; the summation with a quadratic function of signature $(1, 1)$ in two additional variables moves even lacunas to odd ones and vice versa. Therefore any stable equivalence class of functions splits into four subclasses, depending on the parities of n and of an arbitrary (say, positive) inertia index of the quadratic part of the Taylor expansion of these functions. The sets of local lacunas (of the same parity) of versal deformations of functions from any of these four subclasses are in a one to one correspondence with each other.

Corollary 1. *If the function $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ has a minimum (respectively, maximum) point at 0, then the function $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) - x_{n+1}^2 + \tau$ (respectively, $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) + x_{n+1}^2 - \tau$) with sufficiently small $\tau > 0$ belongs to an* odd *local lacuna.*

Indeed, say in the first case the function $f - x_{n+1}^2 + x_{n+2}^2 - x_{n+3}^2 + \tau$ belongs to an even local lacuna by item 3) of Proposition 3 and by §2.1; it remains to use item 4) of the same proposition. □

2.4. **Multiplication by -1.** It follows immediately from the definitions of Petrovskii classes that the perturbation $-f_\lambda$ of the function $-f$ belongs to a local lacuna if and only if the perturbation f_λ of f does.

2.5. **Another form of the odd Petrovskii cycle.** It is easy to see that the cycle of Fig. 2 (left) is homological in $\mathbb{C}^1 \setminus V_\lambda$ (modulo the complement of B_ε) to the sum of small circles going around all non-real points of the set V_λ, as shown in the right-hand part of this figure. So the pre-image of its homology class under the

Leray tube operator, that is, the odd Petrovskii class, is represented by the sum of these points taken with appropriate signs. The same construction allows us to realize this class in the case of an arbitrary odd n.

Namely, let us choose a point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus V_\lambda$. Let $S(\mathbf{x}) \sim S^{n-1}$ be the space of all oriented affine lines in $\mathbb{R}^n$ through $\mathbf{x}$, $\phi : E(\mathbf{x}) \to S(\mathbf{x})$ the tautological line bundle, and $\phi_{\mathbb{C}} : E_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{x}) \to S(\mathbf{x})$ its complexification, so that $E_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the union of pairs $(l, x) \in S(\mathbf{x}) \times \mathbb{C}^n$ such that x belongs to the complexification of the line $\{l\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. The manifold E is obviously orientable and is separated into two parts by the section of the line bundle consisting of all points $(l, \mathbf{x})$. The forgetful map $\Psi : (l, x) \mapsto x$ sends any of these parts diffeomorphically to $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathbf{x}$. In the case of odd n the orientations of these parts induced from the fixed orientation of $\mathbb{R}^n$ belong to one and the same orientation of entire E.[1] Extend the map Ψ to the similar forgetful map $\Psi_{\mathbb{C}} : E_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{C}^n$. For any oriented line $l \in S(\mathbf{x})$ the set $\Psi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(V_\lambda) \cap \{l_{\mathbb{C}}\}$ is a finite set symmetric with respect to the real line $\{l\} \subset \{l_{\mathbb{C}}\}$. Define the relative cycle $\tilde{P}(\mathbf{x}) \subset E_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \Psi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(B_\epsilon \setminus V_\lambda)$ as the union (over all points $l \in S(\mathbf{x})$) of real lines $\{l\}$ slightly moved inside their complexifications $\{l_{\mathbb{C}}\}$ close to all points of $\Psi^{-1}(V_\lambda)$ in such a way that they bypass these points from the left side with respect to the canonical orientation of $\{l\}$. The homology class of the cycle $\Pi(\lambda)$ from the construction of the odd Petrovskii class can be realized as the direct image of this cycle $\tilde{P}(\mathbf{x})$ under the map $\Psi_{\mathbb{C}}$. (In fact, $\tilde{P}(\mathbf{x})$ is a kind of "blowing up" the cycle $\Pi(\lambda)$ at the point $\mathbf{x}$).

For any l the obtained 1-dimensional cycle in $\{l_{\mathbb{C}}\} \setminus \Psi^{-1}(V_\lambda)$ is homological (within the upper half-plane and modulo the intersection with $\Psi^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus B_\varepsilon)$) to the union of small circles around all imaginary points of $\Psi^{-1}(V_\lambda \cap B_\varepsilon)$ in this left-hand half-plane. These homologies can be performed uniformly over all l and sweep out a homology between the cycle $\tilde{P}(\mathbf{x})$ and a cycle which is the Leray tube around the union (over all $l \in S(\mathbf{x})$) of all such imaginary points with positive imaginary parts in the fibers $\{l_{\mathbb{C}}\}$. Thus the odd Petrovskii class can be realized in the case of odd n as the direct image under the map $\Psi_{\mathbb{C}}$ of the cycle composed by this union.

This is essentially the original definition of the odd Petrovskii cycle, see [18]. Unlike the even cycle, it depends on the choice of the point $\mathbf{x}$, but its homology class does not.

3. An obstruction to the existence of local lacunas

Either of two local Petrovskii classes related to a non-discriminant point $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^l$ is an element of the relative homology group $\tilde{H}_{n-1}(V_\lambda, \partial V_\lambda)$ of the corresponding Milnor fiber $V_\lambda = f_\lambda^{-1}(0) \cap \partial B_\epsilon$. The boundary operator of the exact sequence of the pair $(V_\lambda, \partial V_\lambda)$ sends this class to some element of the group $\tilde{H}_{n-2}(\partial V_\lambda)$. For any deformation $F(x, \lambda)$ of the function $f(x)$, the spaces ∂V_λ form a locally trivial (and hence trivializable) fiber bundle over a neighborhood of the origin in the parameter space of our deformation (including the discriminant values of λ). Therefore the homology groups $\tilde{H}_{n-2}(\partial V_\lambda)$ over all values of λ are naturally identified to one another. It follows easily from the construction of the Petrovskii classes, that the boundaries of all cycles $P_{\mathrm{ev}}(\lambda)$ (respectively, $P_{\mathrm{odd}}(\lambda)$) over all non-discriminant values of λ are mapped into one another by this identification. Therefore if for

[1] In the case $n = 1$, responsible for Fig. 2, the role of the orientation of the base is played by the choice of (different) signs of two points of the 0-dimensional sphere $S(\mathbf{x})$. So the canonical orientation of the line over the negative point should be reversed.

some value $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^l$ this boundary is not homologous to zero, then the same is true for all other values of λ, in particular the local Petrovskii classes of the same parity for all λ are non-trivial and we have no local lacunas for the corresponding singularity.

All zeros in the cells of Table 2 (but not the signs 0_c) except for the last column of P_8^2 follow from this obstruction and from the explicit calculation of the Petrovskii classes mentioned in §2.2. Conversely, in the case of P_8^2 the calculation of intersection indices of vanishing cycles in §7 will be based on the calculation of this boundary which will be done in §3.1.

Remark 3. The group $\tilde{H}_*(\partial V_\lambda)$ can be non-trivial only in dimensions $n-1$ and $n-2$, and its structure can be obtained from the intersection form in $\tilde{H}_{n-1}(V_\lambda)$. Indeed, by the Milnor's theorem the only non-trivial segment of the exact sequence of the pair $(V_\lambda, \partial V_\lambda)$ is

$$0 \to \tilde{H}_{n-1}(\partial V_\lambda) \to \tilde{H}_{n-1}(V_\lambda) \xrightarrow{j} \tilde{H}_{n-1}(V_\lambda, \partial V_\lambda) \to \tilde{H}_{n-2}(\partial V_\lambda) \to 0. \tag{2}$$

If we fix Poincaré dual frames in two central groups of this sequence (which are isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{\mu(f)}$), then the homomorphism j will be given by the intersection matrix of basic elements of $\tilde{H}_{n-1}(V_\lambda)$. It determines completely both marginal groups $\tilde{H}_i(\partial V_\lambda)$.

3.1. Boundary of the even Petrovskii class for P_8 singularities.

Proposition 4. *For any singularity of the class P_8^1 or P_8^2, presented by a homogeneous function $f(x,y,z)$ of degree 3, and for any its non-discriminant perturbation f_λ, the boundary of the* even *local Petrovskii class is non-trivial in $\tilde{H}_1(\partial V_\lambda)$.*

Proof. The isomorphism class of the intersection form of the singularities P_8 is well-known, see e.g. [11]. The group $\tilde{H}_1(\partial V_\lambda)$ for any non-discriminant (and hence for any at all) perturbation f_λ of this function f can be easily calculated from (2) and is equal to $\mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$.

We can assume that the coordinates x, y, z are chosen to take f in the Newton-Weierstrass normal form $x^3 + axz^2 + bz^3 - y^2 z$. The Hopf bundle projection $S^5 \to \mathbb{CP}^2$ maps ∂V_0 to the elliptic curve $\{f = 0\}$. Regarding the submanifolds $f^{-1}(\tau) \cap B_\varepsilon \cap \mathbb{R}^n$, $0 < \tau << \varepsilon$, realizing the classes $P_{\mathrm{ev}}(f - \tau)$, and tending τ to 0, we see that the class of $\partial P_{\mathrm{ev}}(0)$ is mapped by this Hopf projection into twice the class of the real part of this elliptic curve, oriented as the boundary of the part of the affine chart $\{z = 1\}$ in $\mathbb{RP}^2$, in which the function f takes negative values. The latter class is never homological to zero in the elliptic curve. (In the case of P_8^2, when this real part consists of two components, some sum of these components is homological to zero, but the orientations of these components in this sum should be coordinated in a different way.) □

3.2. Boundary of $P_{\mathbf{ev}}$ for critical points of functions of two variables.

Denote by D_ε the real part $B_\varepsilon \cap \mathbb{R}^n$ of the ball B_ε. The real zero set of a function $f(x_1, x_2)$ with a critical point at 0 consists of several irreducible curves passing through 0. Any such curve intersects the circle ∂D_ε at two points. The corresponding *chord diagram* is the graph consisting of the circle ∂D_ε and all its chords connecting the endpoints of any such component.

Proposition 5. *The boundary $\partial P_{ev} \in \tilde{H}_0(V_\lambda)$ is trivial for some (and then for all) non-discriminant perturbations f_λ of f if and only if any chord of this chord diagram intersects an even number of other chords.*

Proof. Let us take the function $f - \tau$, $0 < \tau << \varepsilon$, for the perturbation f_λ, so that its Milnor fiber is the set $f^{-1}(\tau) \cap B_\varepsilon$. The geometric boundary of the set of real points of this fiber is in the obvious one-to-one correspondence with the set of endpoints of chords of the chord diagram. The points of this boundary belong to one and the same component of the manifold ∂V_λ if and only if they correspond to the endpoints of one and the same chord. It is easy to calculate that two points corresponding to the endpoints of some chord are counted for in the homological boundary of the even Petrovskii cycle with one and the same sign if and only if they are separated by an odd number of other endpoints in the circle ∂D_ε. □

4. Invariants of components of the complement of the real discriminant

Let us choose the number $\Delta > 0$ small enough so that all varieties $f^{-1}(t)$, $t \in [-\Delta, \Delta]$, are transversal to ∂D_ε.

Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^l$ be a very small neighborhood of the origin in the space of parameters λ, such that the same transversality condition is satisfied not only for f, but also for all functions f_λ, $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and additionally all real critical values of these functions f_λ in D_ε belong to the interval $(-\Delta, \Delta)$. Denote by $M_-(\lambda), M_0(\lambda)$ and $M_+(\lambda)$ the sets of lower values $f_\lambda^{-1}((\infty, -\Delta]) \cap D_\varepsilon$, $f_\lambda^{-1}((\infty, 0]) \cap D_\varepsilon$, and $f_\lambda^{-1}((\infty, \Delta]) \cap D_\varepsilon$, respectively.

The diagrams of spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M_-(\lambda) & \subset & M_+(\lambda) & \subset & D_\varepsilon \\ \cup & & \cup & & \cup \\ M_-(\lambda) \cap \partial D_\varepsilon & \subset & M_+(\lambda) \cap \partial D_\varepsilon & \subset & \partial D_\varepsilon \end{array} \tag{3}$$

form a locally trivial (and hence trivializable) fiber bundle over the neighborhood Λ. Therefore we can fix a family (depending continuously on λ) of homeomorphisms of all of them to one and the same diagram corresponding to some distinguished value λ_0 of λ, say to $\lambda_0 = 0$. The spaces $M_-(\lambda_0)$ and $M_+(\lambda_0)$ for this distinguished value will be called just M_- and M_+. Given an arbitrary λ, composing the embedding $M_0(\lambda) \to D_\varepsilon$ with this unifying homeomorphism we obtain the diagram of spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} M_- & \subset & M_0(\lambda) & \subset & M_+ & \subset & D_\varepsilon \\ \cup & & \cup & & \cup & & \cup \\ M_- \cap \partial D_\varepsilon & \subset & M_0(\lambda) \cap \partial D_\varepsilon & \subset & M_+ \cap \partial D_\varepsilon & \subset & \partial D_\varepsilon \end{array} \tag{4}$$

Proposition 6. *If two points $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda$ belong to one and the same connected component of the set of non-discriminant perturbations of f, then the corresponding diagrams (4) are isotopic to one another via an isotopy of the pair $(D_\varepsilon, \partial D_\varepsilon)$ constant on M_- and on $D_\varepsilon \setminus M_+$.* □

In particular, all homological invariants of isotopy classes of such diagrams also are invariants of components of the complement of the discriminant, and we get the following corollary.

Proposition 7. *The following objects are the same for all λ from one and the same component of the complement of the discriminant:*

a) the isomorphism classes of groups $H_*(M_0(\lambda))$, $H_*(M_0(\lambda), \partial D_\varepsilon)$, $H_*(M_0(\lambda), M_-(\lambda))$, $H_*(M_0(\lambda), (M_- \cup \partial D_\varepsilon))$, $H_*(M_+, M_0(\lambda))$, *and* $H_*(M_+, (M_0(\lambda) \cup \partial D_\varepsilon))$*;*

b) images of boundary operators $\partial : H_*(M_0(\lambda), M_-) \to H_*(M_-)$ *and* $\partial : H_*(M_0(\lambda), M_- \cup \partial D_\varepsilon) \to H_*(M_- \cup \partial D_\varepsilon)$*,*

c) kernels of operators defined by inclusions, $H_*(M_-) \to H_*(M_0(\lambda))$, $H_*(M_- \cup \partial D_\varepsilon) \to H_*(M_0(\lambda) \cup \partial D_\varepsilon)$, $H_*(M_+, M_-) \to H_*(M_+, M_0(\lambda))$, *etc.* □

The invariant $\chi(\lambda)$ used in Proposition 1 is just the Euler characteristic of the third group mentioned in item (a) of Proposition 7.

5. Realization of local lacunas promised in Theorem 1

5.1. Classes P_8^1 and P_8^2.

Proposition 8. *If* $f(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ *is a non-degenerate homogeneous polynomial of degree 3 (so that it belongs to one of classes* P_8^1 *or* P_8^2*) then the polynomials* $f_{\pm\tau} \equiv f \pm (\tau(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2) - \tau^3)$ *with sufficiently small* $\tau > 0$ *belong to odd local lacunas. Moreover, the perturbations* f_τ *and* $f_{-\tau}$ *belong to* different *odd local lacunas.*

Proof. The odd Petrovskii cycle of $f_{\pm\tau}$, realized as in §2.5 with the central point $\mathbf{x}$ at the origin, is empty. Indeed, any complex line through 0, which is the complexification of a real line, intersects $V_{\pm\tau}$ in at least two real points. The set of non-real intersection points is complex conjugate to itself and consists of no more than one point, since the degree of $f_{\pm\tau}$ is equal to 3.

The perturbations f_τ and $f_{-\tau}$ are separated by an invariant from Proposition 7(a). Namely, the relative homology group $H_*(M_0(\tau), (M_- \cup \partial D_\varepsilon))$ coincides with the homology group of a single point, and the group $H_*(M_0(-\tau), (M_- \cup \partial D_\varepsilon))$ is isomorphic to $H_*(S^2, \mathrm{pt})$. □

5.2. **The class $\pm X_9$.** We will consider the singularity class $+X_9$ only, since the class $-X_9$ can be reduced to it, see §2.4.

The local lacuna for a singularity of the class $+X_9$ assumed in the second column of Table 2 is described in §2.1. One of two lacunas assumed in the fourth column is described in Corollary 1 on page 9 and is represented by the function $\varphi(x_1, x_2) - x_3^2 + \tau$, where $\tau > 0$ is small enough and φ has a minimum point at 0.

Proposition 9. *If the function* $\varphi(x_1, x_2)$ *of the class* $+X_9$ *is a non-negative homogeneous polynomial of degree 4, then the function* $f_\tau \equiv \varphi(x_1, x_2) - \tau(x_1^2 + x_2^2) - x_3^2 + \tau^3$ *with sufficiently small* $\tau > 0$ *belongs to the odd local lacuna of the function* $\varphi(x_1, x_2) - x_3^2$*. This lacuna is different from the second lacuna indicated in the previous paragraph.*

Proof. By the Morse lemma, changing slightly the local coordinate x_3 (which certainly does not change the values of the Petrovskii classes) we can replace the function $-x_3^2$ in one variable by $-x_3^2 + x_3^4$, and hence the function f_τ by $f_\tau + x_3^4$. The corresponding odd Petrovskii cycle, described in §2.5 for $\mathbf{x} = 0$, is empty since any real line through 0 intersects the zero set of this function $f_\tau + x_3^4$ at four points. The last statement of the proposition follows immediately from Proposition 7. □

5.3. **Remaining lacunas for corank 2 parabolic singularities.** By Proposition 3 all remaining local lacunas assumed in non-zero cells of Table 2 can be considered as odd local lacunas of some functions in two variables. We realize these lacunas in the following way. As in [13], [1], we demonstrate a perturbation



FIG. 3. Lacunas for X_9^2



FIG. 4. Lacuna for J_{10}^3

$f_\lambda(x_1, x_2)$ of the corresponding function f, all whose $\mu(f)$ critical points are real, all critical values at the saddlepoints are equal to 0, and all critical values at minima (respectively, maxima) are negative (respectively, positive). Using a further very small perturbation of f_λ we can obtain a function $f_{\tilde{\lambda}}$ arbitrarily close to f_λ but with critical values at all saddlepoints moved from 0 to any prescribed sides; in particular $f_{\tilde{\lambda}}$ is non-discriminant. In the Figures 3, 4 we draw the zero sets of the preliminary perturbations f_λ, and indicate by black (respectively, white) circles the saddlepoints, the values at which should be moved in the negative (respectively, positive) direction from 0.

Proposition 10. *If a function $f(x_1, x_2)$ of the class X_9^2 is represented by a homogenous polynomial of degree 4 vanishing on four different real lines, then*

1) it has a perturbation f_λ whose zero set is as shown in either side of Fig. 3;

2) the further non-discriminant perturbations $f_{\tilde{\lambda}}(x_1, x_2)$ shown in these pictures by black and white circles belong to odd local lacunas of f;

3) these two local lacunas are different;

4) rotating both pictures of Fig. 3 by the angle $\pi/2$ we obtain the pictures of two other perturbations of f which belong to two additional odd local lacunas different from the previous two.

Proof. Statement 1 is obvious, 2 follows from the calculation of odd Petrovskii cycles mentioned in §2.2, and statements 3, 4 follow from Proposition 7: indeed, the images of the boundary operators $H_1(M_0(\lambda), M_-) \to H_0(M_-) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^4$ for these four cases are four different subgroups of the latter group. □

Proposition 11. *If the function $f(x_1, x_2)$ belongs to the class J^3_{10}, then*

1) it has a perturbation f_λ whose zero set is homeomorphic to the one shown in Fig. 4,

2) the further non-discriminant perturbation $f_{\tilde\lambda}(x_1, x_2)$ described in this picture by black and white circles belongs to an odd local lacuna of f.

Proof. This proposition follows immediately from the normal form of critical points of type J^3_{10} and from the calculation of odd Petrovskii cycles discussed in §2.2. □

6. A program counting for topologically different morsifications of critical points of real functions

This program has two versions: one for singularities of corank ≤ 2 (see `https://www.hse.ru/mirror/pubs/share/185895886`, currently it contains the starting data for the singularity class J^3_{10}), and the other one for singularities of arbitrary ranks (`https://www.hse.ru/mirror/pubs/share/185895827`, currently with initial data of P^1_8). The further versions of the program will occur at the bottom of the page `https://www.hse.ru/en/org/persons/1297545#sci`.

For a description of the program see §V.8 of the book [22], however the web reference given there leads to an obsolete version of the program.

The starting data for the program are the topological characteristics of some morsification f_λ of f, all whose critical points are real, and all their critical values are different and not equal to 0. Namely, these data include the Morse indices of all critical points ordered by the increase of their critical values (in the program for corank=2 singularities), or just the parities of these indices (in the program for for the general case) and the intersection indices of corresponding vanishing cycles in $\tilde H_{n-1}(V_\lambda)$, defined by a canonical system of paths and having canonical orientations compatible with the orientation of $\mathbb{R}^n$. One additional element of data is the number of negative critical values of f_λ. This information is sufficient to calculate both Petrovskii classes of our morsification f_λ and of all its stabilizations.

Our program is modelling (on the level of similar sets of topological data) all potentially possible topological surgeries of the initial morsifications, namely the jumps of critical values through 0, collisions of the real critical values (which can either bypass one another or undergo a Morse surgery and go into the imaginary domain), the opposite operations (that is, a collision of two complex conjugate critical values at a real point), and also rotations of imaginary critical values around one another. Knowing our topological data before any of these surgeries is enough to predict the similar data after it.

In general, it is not sure that any sequence of such operations over the sets of topological invariants actually can be realized by a path in the parameter space of the deformation, so we consider their results as *virtual morsifications*, that is,



FIG. 5. Convenient morsification for E_6

some admissible collections of our topological data, including the Petrovskii classes. However, any actual morsification is represented by a virtual one, which surely will be found by our algorithm (if it will have enough of memory and time). In particular, if the program has enumerated all possible virtual morsifications of a singularity class and found that their Petrovskii classes never vanish, then we can put the sign 0_c in the corresponding cell of Table 2.

On the other hand, a majority of real local lacunas described in §5 was discovered by this program. More precisely, it has found suspicious virtual morsifications with vanishing Petrovskii classes and printed out their topological data; after that in all our cases it was easy to find by hands the real morsifications with these data.

The numbers of topologically distinct virtual morsifications found by our program are equal to 6503 for P_8^1, 9174 for P_8^2, 16928 for $\pm X_9$, 96960 for X_9^2, 549797 for J_{10}^1, and 77380 for J_{10}^3.

7. Starting data for the singularity P_8^2

The initial data of our program (that is, convenient morsifications with only real critical points and intersection indices of their vanishing cycles) for all real parabolic singularities except for P_8^2 can be easily calculated by the methods of [13], [1] (for critical points whose quadratic part is of corank ≤ 2) or [11] (for the class P_8^1 which has a convenient representative $x^3+y^3+z^3$). It is important for our algorithm that the orientations of these vanishing cycles, defining the signs of the intersection indices, should be compatible with the fixed orientation of $\mathbb{R}^n$; fortunately all these methods satisfy this condition. In this section we solve the similar problem for the remaining case P_8^2.

We choose a function in this class whose Newton–Weierstrass normal form is $f = x^3 - xz^2 + y^2z$. Consider its small perturbation $f_1 = f + \varepsilon z^2$, $\varepsilon > 0$; by the dilation of the coordinates and the function we can assume that $\varepsilon = 1$. f_1 has a critical point of type E_6 at the origin. By a small (with unit linear part) local change of coordinates at the origin this function can be reduced to the form $\tilde{x}^3 - y^4 + \tilde{z}^2$. In addition f_1 has two real Morse critical points $(1, 0, \sqrt{3})$ and $(1, 0, \sqrt{3})$ with common critical value 1; their Morse indices are equal to 2 and 1 respectively. As was shown in [13], Example 3, we can slightly perturb our function f_1 so that its E_6-type critical point splits into six real Morse critical points, and the new function f_2 has the form $\varphi(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) + \tilde{z}^2$ in the corresponding neighborhood of the origin, where the zero level set of φ in $\mathbb{R}^2$ looks as in Fig. 5. The crossing points of this set correspond to the Morse critical points of f_2 with critical value 0 and Morse index 1, and any of three bounded domains contains a point with a slightly greater critical value and Morse index 2. Let f_3 be an additional very small perturbation of the Morse

function f_2 making it a strictly Morse function, that is, separating all 8 critical values. We can do it in such a way that the order of these values in $\mathbb{R}^1$ will be as indicated by the numbers in Fig. 5. Choose a real value A greater than all six critical values obtained from the perturbation of E_6-type critical point but lower than the critical values at two Morse critical points obtained from two points with critical value 1. Define the basis of vanishing cycles in $H_2(f_3^{-1}(A))$ by the system of paths connecting A to all eight critical values within the upper half-plane in $\mathbb{C}^1$. Number the first six basis cycles Δ_i by the order of corresponding critical values, see Fig. 5; let the 7th and the 8th cycles be the ones arising from the critical points with value ≈ 1 and Morse indices 2 and 1 respectively. Orient all these cycles in the correspondence with the fixed orientation of $\mathbb{R}^n$ (see page 177 in [22], especially formula (V.6) there). Our purpose is to calculate the matrix of intersection indices of these eight cycles: this will give us a set of initial data for the perturbation $f_3 - A$ of the initial function f. This matrix is symmetric since $n-1$ is even.

$$
(5) \qquad \begin{pmatrix}
-2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & X & -\frac{Z+W}{2} \\
0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & X & -\frac{Z+W}{2} \\
0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & Y & -\frac{W}{2} \\
1 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & Z \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & Z \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & W \\
X & X & Y & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\
-\frac{Z+W}{2} & -\frac{Z+W}{2} & -\frac{W}{2} & Z & Z & W & 0 & -2
\end{pmatrix}
$$

Lemma 1. *The wanted intersection matrix has the form* (5) *for some values* X, Y, Z *and* W.

Proof. The intersection indices of the first six cycles can be calculated by the method of [13], [1] and are as shown in the upper left-hand 6×6 corner of the matrix (5). The intersection index $\langle \Delta_7, \Delta_8 \rangle$ is equal to 0 because these cycles appear from distant critical points with almost coinciding critical values. The cycles Δ_4, Δ_5 and Δ_6 are invariant under the complex conjugation in $f_3^{-1}(A)$, therefore their intersection indices with Δ_7 (which is anti-invariant) are equal to 0, as indicated in the 7th row of (5). Also, the perturbation f_1 of the original function f is invariant under the reflection in the hyperplane $y = 0$, and its further perturbation f_2 can be accomplished keeping this symmetry. This reflection keeps the basis cycles in $f_2^{-1}(A)$ which are close to the cycles Δ_7 and Δ_8, only changing their canonical orientations; on the other hand it permutes the cycles close to Δ_1 and $-\Delta_2$, and also cycles close to Δ_4 and $-\Delta_5$. Therefore $\langle \Delta_1, \Delta_7 \rangle = \langle -\Delta_2, -\Delta_7 \rangle \equiv \langle \Delta_2, \Delta_7 \rangle$ and $\langle \Delta_4, \Delta_8 \rangle = \langle \Delta_5, \Delta_8 \rangle$. It is why the corresponding cells of our matrix (5) are filled in by equal letters (X in the first case and Z in the second).

For any $i = 1, 2, 3$ denote by $\bar{\Delta}_i$ the vanishing cycle in $f_3^{-1}(A)$ obtained from the ith critical point by the path connecting the corresponding critical value with A in the *lower* half-plane of $\mathbb{C}^1$. It is easy to see that the cycle $\Delta_i + \bar{\Delta}_i$ is anti-invariant under the complex conjugation and Δ_8 is invariant, therefore $\langle \Delta_i + \bar{\Delta}_i, \Delta_8 \rangle = 0$. But $\bar{\Delta}_i$ can be considered as the image of Δ_i under the Picard-Lefschetz monodromy operator along a loop L starting and ending at the point A and embracing all critical values placed between the ith one and the point A. This image is equal to $\Delta_i + \mathrm{Var}_L(\Delta_i)$, therefore the previous equation gives us $-2\langle \Delta_i, \Delta_8 \rangle = \langle \mathrm{Var}_L(\Delta_i), \Delta_8 \rangle$.

By the Picard–Lefschetz formula the last number is equal to $\sum_{j=4}^{6}\langle\Delta_i,\Delta_j\rangle\langle\Delta_j,\Delta_8\rangle$, which gives us the expressions of intersection indices $\langle\Delta_i,\Delta_8\rangle$ through the numbers $Z\equiv\langle\Delta_4,\Delta_8\rangle=\langle\Delta_5,\Delta_8\rangle$ and $W\equiv\langle\Delta_6,\Delta_8\rangle$; see the first three cells of the last row of (5). □

It remains to calculate the numbers X, Y, Z and W in this matrix. We know that it is the matrix of the P_8 type bilinear form in some basis in $\mathbb{Z}^8$. This form is well-known, see e.g. [11]. In particular it is easy to check that the image of this lattice in the dual lattice under the map defined by this bilinear form coincides with the image of an arbitrary E_6-sublattice. Therefore the last two rows of the desired matrix are integer linear combinations of the first six ones. Writing these rows in the form of such linear combinations with indeterminate coefficients, we get 16 equations in 16 unknowns $a_1,\dots,a_6,b_1,\dots,b_6,X,Y,Z,W$. Some two of these equations are consequences of the others, but the diophantine system of remaining 14 equations is easily solvable and has exactly four different integer solutions, which imply four possible combinations of coefficients of the matrix (5): $\{X=0,Y=\pm1,$ $Z=0,W=\pm2\}$. Let us select the correct version.

Both local Petrovskii classes of a morsification, all whose critical points are real, can be calculated explicitly from the Morse indices of these critical points and intersection indices of all (properly oriented) vanishing cycle, see §2.2. Substituting all four hypothetical combinations of intersection indices in these calculations, in three cases we get a contradiction with the previously obtained results on these classes for P_8^2 singularities, saying that

a) in the case of odd n and even index i_+ (e.g. for $n=3$) these singularities have local lacunas, therefore the homological boundary of the odd Petrovskii class is equal to 0 for all non-discriminant perturbations of f, see §5.1;

b) the similar homological boundary of the even Petrovskii class is not equal to 0 for the same values of n and i_+, see §3.1.

The unique remaining case gives us $Y=1$, $W=-2$, and the intersection matrix is completely calculated. Plugging it into the initial data of our program, we get from it the messages that no local lacunas exist close to the P_8^2 singularities in the cases of even n and both even and odd i_+. This proves the first two zeros in Table 2 for P_8^2.

8. No extra lacunas for $\pm X_9$

The sign 1_c in the second column of Table 2 for $\pm X_9$ (that is, the fact that this singularity has no local lacunas in addition to the one mentioned in §5.2) is proved by our program with the help of the following fact.

Proposition 12. *If the function f has a minimum point at the origin, then all its sufficiently small perturbations f_λ, such that all real critical values of f_λ are positive, belong to one and the same component of the complement of the discriminant of an arbitrary its versal deformation.*

Proof. The described property of functions is preserved by inducing and equivalence of deformations, therefore it is enough to prove our proposition for one arbitrary versal deformation of the function f. In particular we can assume that this deformation contains together with any perturbation f_λ of f also all perturbations $f_\lambda+c$, where the constants c run some interval containing 0. Choose some small value $c>0$ in this interval, then there is some number $\delta>0$ such that the

δ-neighborhood of the point $\{f+c\}$ in the space $\mathbb{R}^l$ of parameters of this deformation is separated from the discriminant. For any point λ from the δ-neighborhood of the origin in $\mathbb{R}^l$, such that f_λ satisfies the condition of our Proposition, the entire segment consisting of functions $f_\lambda+\tau$, $\tau\in[0,c]$ belongs to the complement of the discriminant, and its last point $f_\lambda+c$ belongs to the δ-neighborhood of the point $f+c$ mentioned above. □

Therefore I have asked my program to check that the functions of type $+X_9$ do not have virtual morsifications with trivial even Petrovskii class and at least one negative critical value. Its confirmative answer justifies the sign 1_c in the cell under question.

References

[1] N. A'Campo, *Le groupe de monodromie du déploiement des singularités isolées de courbes planes. I*, Math. Ann. 213:1 (1975), 1–32.

[2] N. A'Campo, *Le groupe de monodromie du déploiement des singularités isolées de courbes planes. II*, In: Actes du Congres Internationale des Mathematiciens (Vancouver, 1974), 1975, vol.1, 395–404.

[3] V.I. Arnold, A.N. Varchenko, and S.M. Gusein-Zade, *Singularities of differentiable maps*, vol.1: *Classification of critical points, caustics, and wavefronts*. "Nauka", Moscow, 1982; Engl. transl.: Birkhäuser, Basel, 1985.

[4] V.I. Arnold, A.N. Varchenko, and S.M. Gusein-Zade, *Singularities of differentiable maps*, vol.2: *Monodromy and asymptotics of integrals*, "Nauka", Moscow, 1984; Engl. transl.: Birkhäuser, Basel, 1988.

[5] V.I. Arnold, V.A. Vassiliev, V.V. Goryunov, and O.V. Lyashko, *Singularities, 2.* Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki: Sovr. Probl. Mat.: Fungamentalnye Napravleniya, vol. 39, VINITI, Moscow, 1989; English transl.: Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., vol. 39, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1993.

[6] M.F. Atiyah, R. Bott, and L. Gårding, *Lacunas for hyperbolic differential operators with constant coefficients. I*, Acta Math., 124 (1970), 109–189.

[7] M.F. Atiyah, R. Bott, and L. Gårding, *Lacunas for hyperbolic differential operators with constant coefficients. II*, Acta Math., 131 (1973), 145–206.

[8] V.A. Borovikov, *Fundamental solutions of linear partial equations with constant coefficients*, Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obshch. 8 (1959), 199–257. English transl.: Amer. Math. Soc. Translations, Ser.2, vol. 25, 11–76, 1963.

[9] V.A. Borovikov, *Some sufficient conditions for the absence of lacunas*, Mat. Sbornik, 55 (97) (1961), 237–254. (Russian)

[10] A.M. Davydova, *A sufficient condition for the absence of a lacuna for a partial differential equation of hyperbolic type*, Ph.D.Thesis, Moscow State Univ., 1945, 43 pp.

[11] A.M. Gabrielov, *Intersection matrices for some singularities,* Funct. Anal. and its Appl. 7:3 (1973), 182–193.

[12] L. Gårding, *Sharp fronts of paired oscillatory integrals*, Publ. Res. Inst. for Math. Sci. Kyoto Univ., 12 (1977), 53–68.

[13] S.M. Gusein-Zade, *Intersection matrices for some singularities of functions of two variables*, Funkts. Anal. i Prilozh., 8:1 (1974), 11–15; Engl. translation in Functional Anal. Appl., 8 (1974), 10-13.

[14] S.M. Gusein-Zade, *Dynkin diagrams of singularities of functions of two variables*, Funkts. Anal. i Prilozh., 8:4 (1974), 23–30; Engl. translation in Functional Anal. Appl., 8 (1974).

[15] S.M. Gusein-Zade, *Monodromy groups of isolated singularities of hypersurfaces*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 32:2 (1977), 23–65, Engl. transl. in Russian Math. Surveys 32:2 (1977), 23–69.

[16] J. Hadamard, *Le problème de Cauchy et les équations aux dérivées partielles linéaires hyperboliques,* Hermann, Paris, 1932.

[17] J. Leray, *Un prolongement de la transformation de Laplace qui transforme la solution unitaire d'un opérateur hyperbolique en sa solution élémentaire (Probleme de Cauchy, IV)*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 90 (1962), 39–156.

[18] I.G. Petrovsky, *On the diffusion of waves and the lacunas for hyperbolic equations*, Matem. Sbornik, 17(59) (1945), 289–370.
[19] A.N. Varchenko, *Normal forms of nonsmoothness of solutions of hyperbolic equations*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat., 51:3 (1987), 652–665; Engl. transl. in Mat. USSR Izv. 30:3 (1988), 615–628.
[20] V.A. Vassiliev, *Sharpness and the local Petrovskii condition for strictly hyperbolic equations with constant coefficients,* Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 50 (1986), 242–283; Engl. transl. in Math. USSR Izv. 28 (1987), 233–273.
[21] V.A. Vassiliev, *Geometry of local lacunas of hyperbolic operators with constant coefficients*, Mat. Sbornik, 183:1 (1992), 114–129. English transl.: Russian Acad. Sci. Sbornik Math. 75 (1993), 111–123.
[22] V.A. Vassiliev, *Applied Picard–Lefschetz theory*, AMS, Providence RI, 2002.

National Research University Higher School of Economics, Steklov Mathematical Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences
E-mail address: vva@mi.ras.ru